United States District Court

for the Eastern District of Michigan

Eustern D	istrict of whomean			
United States of America)			
v.)			
) Case No. 22-30171M-001			
Cameron Roshard Jones				
Defendant)			
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
Part I - Elig	ibility for Detention			
Upon the				
✓ Motion of the Government attorney purs	uant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or			
	vn motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),			
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 31420	on is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of faction, in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.			
Part II - Findings of Fact and I	aw as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)			
	S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable onditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person as have been met:			
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the	following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):			
· · ·	18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. m term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or			
(b) an offense for which the maximum	sentence is life imprisonment or death; or			
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C.	erm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act 5 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or			
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or tw	convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs o or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses a (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal ion of such offenses; or			
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a c	rime of violence but involves:			

(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; *and*

(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and

(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);

- (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; *and*
- (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above. OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted. Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☑ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☐ History of violence or use of weapons
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☐ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☐ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse ☐ Lack of stable employment
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☐ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse

Case 4:22-cr-20242-SDK-CI ECF No. 11, PageID.22 Filed 04/22/22 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
✓ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
✓ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

The Court's findings and reasons for ordering detention, including its consideration of the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), were stated on the record at the April 22, 2022 hearing and are fully incorporated by this reference. At that time, the Court found probable cause to believe that the defendant committed the crimes of possession of a firearm in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1), and that there is, accordingly, a presumption in favor of detention in this case. At that time, the Court found by: (a) by a preponderance of the evidence that there is no condition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure Defendant's appearance; and (b) by clear and convincing evidence that there is no condition or combination of conditions which will reasonably ensure the safety of the community. This evidence was discussed on the record in support of the Court's reasoning, and includes, but is not limited to evidence that: (1) historically, Defendant has done poorly in general under supervision; (2) Defendant's criminal history reflects a history related to firearm use/possession; (3) Defendant was on bond a the time of the instant offense, and (5) there is a recommendation from the pretrial service department that there are no conditions or combination of conditions that could reasonably ensure the appearance of Dendant as required or the safety of the community if he was released.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	April 22, 2022	s/Curtis Ivy, Jr.	
		Judge's Signature	
	Curtis Ivy, Jr., U.S. Magistrate Judge		
	Name and Title		